

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,051	11/19/2003	Richard R. Bijjani	L0632.70001US04	7442
7590 06/27/2005			EXAMINER	
Randy J. Pritzker Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.			HO, ALLEN C	
600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	
		DATE MAILED ACCORDAGE		

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
l	10/717,051	BIJJANI ET AL.	v
ľ	Examiner	Art Unit	
l	Allen C. Ho	2882	

	Allen C. Ho	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		· ·	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1)	•	ampliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		omphant Amendment	(FTQL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1). ्
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	,		nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I		
13. 🗀 Other		allen C	. Ho
		Allen C. Ho Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 2882

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Proposed amendments to claims 8 and 28 raise new issues that would require further consideration and seach.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants argue that positional information passed from the dual-energy x-ray prescanner to the CT is not information indicative of effective atomic number characteristics. The examiner respectfully diagrees. The dual-energy x-ray prescanners disclosed by the prior art references determine positions that warrant additional scans by a CT. A dual-energy x-ray prescanner determines effective atomic numbers of objects being scanned and selects positions based on effective atomic numbers. Therefore, the positions so chosen are informations indicative of effective atomic numbers. In other words, the positions indicate areas where the effective atomic numbers are within a range of interest. To distinguish applicants' invention over the prior art, the claims should be amended to exclude positional information from the information indicative of effective atomic number characteristics.